

Executive Registry

11-4329-a

23 MAY 1959

Mr. William P. Smith

Dear Mr. Smith:

I very much appreciate your letter of May 19 and your kind remarks concerning my brother.

It was very considerate of you to write and you can be sure that your suggestions, as well as those of many other thoughtful persons like yourself, are being given careful attention and consideration.

I am returning the copy of your book which you so kindly loaned me as I have another copy of it available here.

With best wishes.

Sincerely,

SIGNED

Allen W. Dulles
Director

Attachment:

O/DCI/[]:rad 22 May 59

Distribution:

Orig - Addressee w/att
1 - DCI
1 - FMC
1 - ER w/basic

DOCUMENT NO. 97

NO CHANGE IN CLASS. X

☐ DECLASSIFIED

CLASS. CHANGED TO: TS S C

NEXT REVIEW DATE: _____

AUTH: HR 70-2

DATE: 2/22/81

REVIEWER: []

(EXECUTIVE REGISTRY FILE)

Legislative Registry
11-4329

WILLIAM PEABODY SMITH



STAT


May 19, 1959

Mr. Allen W. Dulles
2430 E Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Dulles:

I have lost some relatives and many friends from cancer (one was a medical doctor whose cancer started with an Xray burn) therefore am extra conscious of developments in this field. Recently I wrote to Senator Neuberger expressing my thoughts and beliefs in the matter. With a prayer and in the hope that what I said in that letter may lead to some help for your brother I am enclosing a copy of it and attaching to this letter a copy of the book referred to.

Sincerely,


William P. Smith

Hon. Richard L. Neuberger
Senate Building
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sen. Neuberger:

The Newspapers report that you have introduced a bill proposing to appropriate \$500,000,000.00 to start a crash program of research on cancer -- comparable to the Manhattan project which produced the atom bomb -- and that said program be under the direction and supervision of the National Cancer Institute.

The success of the Manhattan project was due to the fact that its directors and staff were dedicated to ACCOMPLISHING THE JOB, could use all the brains they had -- without interference -- and were not under the necessity of doing any "face saving" for anybody.

The National Cancer Institute is not in a comparable position -- it must "cover up" for all past mistakes, errors and omissions on the part of the American Medical ASSOCIATION -- and those who have helped them.

The American Medical Profession -- the doctors you and I go to for help are an honest, conscientious, hard working group of men and women doing all they can to help us, and, within the restrictions limiting their service, are according recognition to the fact that nutrition and other methods besides "drugs" have a place in the health field.

The American Medical ASSOCIATION is not doing all it can for public health, and is actually acting as a "road block" to retard or stop anything except "drug" methods and practices from being evaluated, developed and used. The Association's membership is (I believe) restricted to medical doctors (M.D's.), but it is run by a politically minded control group who dictate what drugs can be used and what methods employed, and once their opinion is issued -- the local doctor who deviates -- or questions -- does so at the risk of losing his right to practice. The local member doctors we go to for help, have no more control over this "top brass" control group, than the local truck driver has to upset Jimmy Hoffa's International Teamsters Union -- without legislative assistance.

I have a reprint from the Yale Law Journal, May 1954 entitled: "THE AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION

POWER, PURPOSE, POLITICS IN ORGANIZED MEDICINE"

It gives complete reference for every statement made in it. It is brutally factual.

What happens to the doctor who oversteps the dictates of the "top brass"? The answer to this came to me by accident. I entered a subway car -- someone had discarded a newspaper -- I picked it up and there before my eyes was the report of final decision of the New York Court of Appeals -- -- Board of Regents vs Stammer

Dr. Emanuel Stammer, Jamaica, L.I.N.Y. had been given a formula by an old patient of his -- saying that it had been given to him by a friend who claimed that it would remove a skin cancer -- and that perhaps the doctor could use it sometime to help someone. Dr. Stammer thanked him and pigeon-holed the formula.

Later a woman came to Dr. Stammer with a skin cancer on her face. She had been treated by surgery and radium and now the cancer had recurred. Aside from the treatment already used Dr. Stammer knew of nothing else to suggest --and then he thought of the formula and told her about it, saying, "surgery and radium have not cured your cancer, maybe this formula would help". If you are willing I will have a batch made up --try it on my own skin to ascertain if it harms healthy flesh, and if it don't, we'll try it on your cancer. She agreed --he did -- and in a few weeks the cancer fell off leaving healed skin. She was overjoyed and told everybody " Dr. Stammer cured me with a salve". The AMA "top brass" heard of it and preferred charges, against Dr. Stammer, of "unethical" practice before the Board of Regents, Albany, N.Y. A hearing was held. Dr. Stammer then what he had used, and how, and how the formula had come to him. The Board of Regents suspended Dr. Stammer from practice for one year for "using an untested substance in a serious case of cancer". Dr. Stammer took a vacation in Europe. When he returned he found that he had been suspended permanently. He hired a lawyer --won the won through every court up to and including Appeals where the judges said, "initiative and originality should not be thus effectively stifled". That was January 23, 1942. I/7 called him up and then went to see him, -- told him I had a relative with a skin cancer (so pronounced by her medical doctor who recommended surgery) on her side. Will you treat her? Yes, bring her to me. I did. He treated her and in a few weeks her cancer fell off leaving healed skin. That was seventeen years ago and she is still living and well.

The late Sen. Tobey had a son afflicted with cancer which had been pronounced "hopeless" --and then he was treated and CURED by Dr. Robert Lincoln of Medford, Mass. -- using a method not recognized by the AMA "top brass". Sen. Tobey felt as you do that something should be done about research --particularly about a new drug brought to this country by Dr. Stephen Durovic and known as KREBIOZEN. Knowing the powerfull opposition he was planning to encounter --he went to the Dept. of Justice and asked for their best investigator. They assigned Benedict F. Fitzgerald to work with him. Unfortunately, about the time Fitzgerald's investigation was finished, Sen Tobey died, but before death he requested another Senator to carry on the investigation because of its life-and death importance to the American people. That Senator wouldn't talk with Fitzgerald, and buried the report in some subcommittee where it was permitted to die.

The whole story about KREBIOZEN in all its sordid details is completely reported in the book " A Matter of Life and Death" by Herbert Bailey. Everyone interested in cancer should be vitally concerned with this report.

The late Sen. McMahon who died of cancer was helped so much by KREBIOZEN (it practically eliminated all pain) that he requested Sen. Douglass to push through the DUROVIC-KREBIOZEN bill, and telephoned his friend Speaker Rayburn and said, "Sam, there is one thing I want you to do for me --get that bill passed to keep the discoverer of KREBIOZEN in this country. I know what it did for me, and it will probably do better for others before long. Lets give it a chance".

The wife of Mr. S. Arthur Hershey, a Chicago business man, had cancer which was pronounced "hopeless" by three top medical doctors. He heard of KREBIOZEN --got his wife treated with it and she recovered to where she was able to play golf twice a week. He felt that he should do something so that all doctors would use it --so he wrote to Sen Lyndon Johnson asking him to "do something about it ". Nothing happened.

A House Committee was appointed to investigate ALL Federal Agencies. This Committee started under the chairmanship of Cong. Moulder -- hired Bernard Swarz as counsel, but when he turned up so much in the way of "leads" the Committee got into a wrangle and fired Swarz. That angered Cong. Moulder so he resigned chairmanship and Cong. Harriss took over. The Committee could not stop there, so, reluctantly, waded into FCC. Now what is in the cards? Chairman Harriss issues an incomplete report on an unfinished investigation -- says every member of the Committee believes the investigation should go on -- but asks for no money to do it, and is reported as stopping the activities of the Committee. WHY?

The American Medical Association is reputed to have the most powerful lobby in Washington. Last year they spent \$499,906.06 on public relations and lobbying. Recently they sent a questionnaire to their doctor members asking information about the hobbies, church affiliations, newspaper support and other personal facts about every member of Congress. WHY?

The AMA "top brass" claim the "Association" has the health interests of the public at heart and to be acting always to "protect" said public. WHO are they protecting when they try to suspend from practice an honest doctor who CURED a cancer -- or when they use their influence with the Federal Agencies to block an honest evaluation of KREBIOGEN?

The essence of what the AMA "top brass" is trying to do, is to bring about a situation where only medical doctors can SAY or DO anything about HEALTH and then only as directed by the AMA "top brass". No Chiropractors, Naturopaths, Naprapaths, Nutritionists, Electronic Diagnostic methods or treatments, Nurses, Purveyors of pure foods -- NOBODY. The Osteopaths are now recognized, since they agreed to study "drug" methods and qualify as M.D.'s.

A Congressman I talked with about this matter said "I am not a lawyer, doctor, engineer or scientist, therefore when a matter comes up for my decision I have to depend on the EXPERTS in those professions for advice. He honestly believes that the American Medical Profession and the American Medical Association are one and the same thing, consequently when a doctor in the U.S. Public Health Service says to him -- thus and so -- is "THE CONSENSUS OF MEDICAL OPINION" he thinks that that is the opinion of the majority opinion of 165,000 doctors. Actually it is not, but is really what the AMA "top brass" want to be considered as the opinion of 165,000 doctors. Senators, Congressmen and Judges are in this same situation -- they depend on "EXPERT" opinion, consequently with this "CONSENSUS OF MEDICAL OPINION" (presumed to be true) -- weapon, the various Federal Agencies, FTC, F&D, P.O. FRAUD Div., and the Courts have driven many honest citizens out of honest legitimate businesses -- but this is a minor matter compared to the blocking of honest independent cancer research.

Before any money is appropriated for use by the National Cancer Institute there should be an investigation (comparable to that being run by Senator McClelland) into the operation of all the Federal Agencies to ascertain WHO picks the doctors that staff these agencies -- and WHY. WHY the late Surgeon General of the U.S. Public Health Service retired to become the president of Warner Lambert Phar. Co. What individuals control the American Medical Association -- The American Cancer Society, the "big" drug companies and WHY they all work together so perfectly with the Federal Agencies to prevent an honest evaluation of any proposed treatment for cancer -- except surgery, X-ray and radium?

Attached is a copy of "A Matter of Life and Death", by Herbert Bailey. Start your reading with Mr. Hershey's letter in the appendix -- which shows how he broke the AMA "top brass" BARRIERS to get help for his wife -- then read the book. May God give you the health, strength and wisdom to FREE cancer research and make it as uninhibited as the Manhattan project.

Sincerely,

STAT WILLIAM PEABODY SMITH

SPECIAL DELIVERY

Mr. Allen W. Dulles
2430 E Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C.

PERSONAL
IMPORTANT
RUSH

221 ADMIN

